

- ☐ Add
☐ Update
☐ Delete

RCRIS CORRECTIVE ACTION DATA ENTRY FORM

NCAPS and
Stabilization
→ No
Instrument

Handler ID #

Facility Name

N V 0 9 8 0 8 9 5 3 3 8

Etiam

Instrument Type

Agency

Effective Date

9-2-92

Issue Date

____/____/____

Revocation Date

____/____/____

Repository

MMDDYY

MMDDYY

Program

R

Responsible Person

Sto + R

Section

H-2-2

Legal Authority Code

Citation

Add

Update

Sequence

Delete

Number

Area Description

Ground Surface

Water

Water

Soil

Air

All facility

✓

✓

✓

✓

Instrument Type:

Program:

C Consent Decree

R RCRA program guidance

U Unilateral Order

C CERCLA program guidance

O Operating Permit

Repository: Action requires o/o to establish an information repository

P Post Closure Permit

1 = NA

M Permit Modification

2 = required, established

N Order Modification

3 = required, not established

J Judicial Order

V Voluntary CA

Z Other

Code: To designate a group of units related projected for CA.

Blanks allowed

X = A release to groundwater, surface water, soil (within/beyond boundary), or air (within/beyond boundary) from unit indicated.

Effective Date: Effective Date of Instrument

Revocation Date: Date the CA instrument is revoked.

Issue Date: Date the agency official signs the instrument.

Areas: The geographic area that will require cleanup. Not limited to the boundary of the facility. Should be defined exactly as described in order or permit.

8/10/12

RMS 10/6

RMS

EA
RMS

RECEIVED
SEP 02 1992
By _____
Program _____
SEP 09 1992
By *RA*

N V D 9 8 0 8 9 5 3 3 8

By Program SEP 09 1992

Scheduled
Date
____/____/____
M M D D Y Y

Actual
Date 9/27/92
MM DD YY

Responsible Person Stott Section H-2-2

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Add	Event		Sequence
<input type="checkbox"/> Update	Code	Agency	Number
<input type="checkbox"/> Delete	2. <u>225</u>	<u>E</u>	<u>NR</u>

Scheduled
Date
____/____/____
M M D D Y Y

Actual Date 9/2/92 Program RCRA
M M D D Y Y

Responsible Person Stott Section H-2-2

Comments	not - regard	not entered in comments
----------	--------------	-------------------------

<input type="checkbox"/> Add	Event		Sequence
<input type="checkbox"/> Update	Code	Agency	Number
<input type="checkbox"/> Delete	3. _____	_____	_____

Scheduled
Date _____
M M D D Y Y

Actual Date _____ Program _____
M M D D Y Y

Responsible Person _____ Section _____

Event Codes

CA 075 NCAPS Ranking

thi
me
Lo

CA 225 Stabilization Measures Evaluation

YE

RECEIVED
SEP 04 1992

11/19/

9/4/90



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105

MEMORANDUM

Date: August 26, 1992

SUBJECT: Evaluation of Contractor Performance
Eticam Incorporated
NVD980895338

FROM: Tim Stott, Environmental Scientist *TS*
HANG Section

TO: Rachel Loftin, EPI Coordinator H-8-1

THRU: Paula Bisson, Chief *Paula Bisson*
HANG Section

1. The document I reviewed, dated July 24, 1992, was prepared by Ecology and Environment Incorporated and was an EPI PA.

2. Considering the evaluation factors, I believe the document was outstanding.

3. No specific weaknesses

4. Strengths:

Very well researched. I suppose this is due in part to the fact that the same contractor prepared both the PA and the PA review. An accurate picture of all areas of concern was presented, the facility's current regulatory involvement was well outlined, and accurate conclusions were made based on the gathered information. In addition, the contractor did an excellent job in running the NCAPS system, all the appropriate parameters were used.

5. As mentioned above, the adequacy of both the PA review form and NCAPS was outstanding.

SEP 04 1992



